

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. Claims 1-9 and 11 stand rejected and claim 10 is allowed. The Examiner also objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a). The Examiner's objections and rejections are traversed below, and reconsideration of all rejected claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 11 are amended and new claim 12 is added.

Objection to Drawings Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a)

On pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) as failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The Office Action asserts "'the cable winding roller disposed in the display body' and 'the free end connected to the supporting bracket' must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s)."

Applicants are adding reference numeral 23 to Figures 3 and 7 to refer to the free end. Applicants also amend paragraph [0037] of the specification to insert reference numeral 23 after the term "free end."

Applicants are adding new Figure 8, which shows the cable winding roller disposed in the display body and the free end connected to the supporting bracket. The specification has been amended similarly to properly reference the same.

These amendments do not add new matter as the same has already been referenced in the specification. Further, Figure 7 has been referenced in detail as being an example embodiment of the present invention, and as new Figure 8 merely duplicates Figure 7, but reverses the cable winding roller and the free end, as detailed in the specification, new Figure 8 is fully supported by the originally filed specification. In particular, new Figure 8 is supported by the specification and claims, for example, page 15, paragraphs [0055] and [0056] as well as original claim 2, all as originally filed. Lastly the remaining sheets for Figures 1-2 and 4-6 are also resubmitted with brief amendments to remove the sheet number indicators.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a). Therefore, withdrawal of the objection to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to failing to comply with the enable requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts, "In the present case, there is no support in the as-filed disclosure, via such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., for the newly introduced claim limitations in claim 2. . . ." This assertion is respectfully traversed.

At least page 15, paragraph [0055] of the specification provides support for "a connection cable, wound about the cable winding roller, and having a free end connected to the other one of the display body and a supporting bracket. . . ," as recited in claim 2. Further, at least page 15, paragraph [0055] of the specification provides support for "a cable through hole provided on the adjusting bracket, to allow the connection cable to pass through, so that the free end of the connection cable is connected to the other one of the display body and the supporting bracket," as recited in claim 8.

Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Office Action asserts, " Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting a essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections." Applicants amend claim 1 to obviate this rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claim 11 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,448,028 to Brizgis. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Brizgis does disclose, teach or suggest "wherein the display bodies are at least one of liquid crystal displays and plasma display panels," as recited in amended claim 11.

Brizgis discloses an adjustable frame for pictures, photographs and mirrors, so that these items could be held in an approximately upright position (column 1, lines 9-14). However, Brizgis does not disclose "wherein the respective display body is a luminescent display." In addition, Brizgis similarly fails to disclose the claimed "liquid crystal displays" or "plasma display panels" set forth in new dependent claim 12. Therefore, for at least these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 11 and 12 patentably distinguish over the cited reference.

Summary

Claims 1-12 are pending and under consideration. It is respectfully submitted that drawings comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) and that the claims satisfy the requirements under 35 U.S.C. §112. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that Brizgis does not disclose the present claimed invention. There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is respectfully submitted that application is in condition for allowance, and early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with the filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 12/30/04

By: 
Stephen Boughner
Registration No. 45,317

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

IN THE DRAWINGS:

On pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). In order to overcome the drawing objections, Applicants are adding reference numeral 23 to Figures 3 and 7 to refer to a free end, and Applicants are adding new Figure 8, which is fully supported by the specification (e.g., page 15, paragraphs 55-56) and the claims as originally filed. Sheets for Figures 1-2 and 4-6 are also similarly being replaced as the corresponding sheets include references to the ultimate number of sheets, e.g., Figure 3 includes "3/8". Approval of the replacement sheets for Figures 3 and 7, approval of the new Figure 8, and withdrawal of the drawing objections under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) is respectfully requested.